

TAC Meeting Record #2 Old Cedar Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation

City Project 2010-201
August 12, 2014
2:30 pm – 4:00 pm

A design meeting for the above referenced project was held at the City of Bloomington Public Works Office. The following is a summary of the meeting discussions. Action items are in bold and underlined, and revisions are in red.

Meeting Summary:

1) Introductions & Sign-in

- See attached sign-in sheet

2) Project Overview

A. General

- Matt noted that east and west approach enhancements are being separated from the bridge project due to permitting and funding constraints and would likely lag behind by several months.

B. Bridge Enhancements / Site

- Matt briefly discussed the three bridge enhancement graphics currently under consideration and noted the plan was to develop the plans to a 60% level and then pause until bridge bid are received and funding amount is known.
- The funding of the site project was discussed as it relates to the 106 review process. It was noted that the project will have funds from the USFWS, but it was not clear if any of the grant funding from FHWA would be used. Kristen noted that MnDOT CRU can be the lead agency in either case, and that the exact process could be determined when the funding splits are determined. Matt noted that he received an email indicating the USFWS would defer to MnDOT for the review. **Matt to forward email from USFWS regarding the 106 review to Kristen and Charlene.**

C. Old Cedar Roadway

- Julie noted that the project schedule will be scheduled to minimize impacts to the local garden center. Matt added that surveys have been scheduled, but not yet completed.

D. 60% Bridge Plans

- Matt discussed the general organization of the plans highlighting the following items:
 - Staging area at west approach may change due to necessary coordination with the site project to allow the lot to be reconstructed in 2015.
 - The temporary easement on the south side of the bridge has been increased to allow a trench and barge option for temporary construction access. Melissa noted that the

Corps would generally prefer a bridge be constructed, and documentation would be needed to justify this alternative.

- Kristen noted that she would be preparing a letter for the 60% plans and asked that Charlene send her comments along with a draft letter. **Charlene to forward comments on 60% plans with draft letter to Kristen this week.**

3) Progress Update

A. Structural Analysis

- Matt noted the design is approximately 80% complete and that M&M would be starting their peer review in September. Tom added that M&M has completed a fatigue analysis of the bridge.

B. Constructability Reviews

- Matt noted that M&M performed a constructability review of the 60% plans and that their comments are being addressed. He added that SRF had a meeting with a local contractor and anticipated meeting with another one in early September.

C. Project Historian Coordination

- Charlene gave a summary of her work since the last meeting noting the railing end posts are one item that has been discussed.

D. Cost Estimate

- Matt noted that the 60% estimate is currently being developed and that he anticipating it being delivered in a couple weeks.

4) Schedule

- Matt briefly discussed the items completed since the last TAC meeting and the primary events going forward. It was noted the second public meeting was originally scheduled for mid-September, but that it is not required. It was determined the second public meeting would likely be cancelled, but that a future meeting would likely be scheduled for the bridge enhancements.

5) Stakeholder Items

- Dave noted that a meeting should be scheduled with the bridge office at the 95% review stage. It was suggested that it occur the same day as the last TAC meeting to allow Tom to attend. **Matt to schedule 95% review meeting with the bridge office to coincide with the last TAC meeting.**
- Melissa noted the permit process for the bridge would be fairly straight forward. Matt added that intent is to submit the permit shortly with the hope of securing approvals this year.
- Karl asked if SRF has met with MCES to discuss their utility. Matt noted that they would be invited to the utility meeting tentatively planned for the end of August. **SRF to schedule utility meeting.**

6) Other Items

- Matt noted the next TAC meeting is scheduled for October 14, 2014. **Julie to send out an appointment request for the third TAC meeting on 10/14/14.**

Meeting Record Revisions:

The preceding represents SRF Consulting Group's understanding of the referenced meeting and was prepared on August 14, 2014. If you identify discrepancies or items that require clarification, please contact Matt Cramer at SRF via email at mcramer@srfconsulting.com or via telephone at 763-249-6788.